

As Time Goes By

Seeking a Solution to Maxwell's Equations

Níckolas Alves

Institute of Physics
University of São Paulo

October 3, 2018

Summary

- 1 It's still the same old story
 - Where we look at our theory so far
- 2 A fight for love and glory
 - When it is time for an update
- 3 A case of do or die
 - Where we take another point of view
- 4 The world will always welcome lovers
 - Where we find the couple of retarded potentials
- 5 As time goes by
 - Where we find Jefimenko's Equations
- 6 References

Helmholtz Theorem

Theorem (Informal)

If the divergence $(\nabla \cdot \mathbf{F})(\mathbf{r})$ and the curl $(\nabla \times \mathbf{F})(\mathbf{r})$ of a vector function $\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{r})$ are specified, and if they both go to zero faster than $1/r^2$ as $r \rightarrow \infty$, and if $\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{r})$ goes to zero as $r \rightarrow \infty$, then \mathbf{F} is given uniquely by

$$\mathbf{F} = -\nabla U + \nabla \times \mathbf{W},$$

where U and \mathbf{W} are given by

$$U(\mathbf{r}) \equiv \frac{1}{4\pi} \int \frac{(\nabla \cdot \mathbf{F})(\mathbf{r}')}{\|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'\|} d\tau', \quad \mathbf{W}(\mathbf{r}) \equiv \frac{1}{4\pi} \int \frac{(\nabla \times \mathbf{F})(\mathbf{r}')}{\|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'\|} d\tau'.$$

Not Helmholtz Theorem

Not-a-Theorem

Let \mathbf{E} be a curl-less field and let \mathbf{B} be a divergenceless field. Then we may write them as

$$\mathbf{E} = -\nabla V, \quad \mathbf{B} = \nabla \times \mathbf{A}$$

where V and \mathbf{A} are given by

$$V(\mathbf{r}) \equiv \frac{1}{4\pi} \int \frac{(\nabla \cdot \mathbf{E})(\mathbf{r}')}{\|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'\|} d\tau', \quad \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{r}) \equiv \frac{1}{4\pi} \int \frac{(\nabla \times \mathbf{B})(\mathbf{r}')}{\|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'\|} d\tau'.$$

Static potentials

Curl-less electric field

$$\nabla \times \mathbf{E} = \mathbf{0}$$

Static potential

$$\mathbf{E} = -\nabla V$$

$$V(\mathbf{r}, t) = \frac{1}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \int \frac{\rho(\mathbf{r}', t)}{\|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'\|} d\tau'$$

Divergenceless magnetic field

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{B} = 0$$

Vector potential

$$\mathbf{B} = \nabla \times \mathbf{A}$$

$$\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{r}, t) = \frac{\mu_0}{4\pi} \int \frac{\mathbf{J}(\mathbf{r}', t)}{\|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'\|} d\tau'$$

Static potentials

Curl-less electric field

$$\nabla \times \mathbf{E} = \mathbf{0}$$

Static potential

$$\mathbf{E} = -\nabla V$$

$$V(\mathbf{r}, t) = \frac{1}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \int \frac{\rho(\mathbf{r}', t)}{\|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'\|} d\tau'$$

Divergenceless magnetic field

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{B} = 0$$

Vector potential

$$\mathbf{B} = \nabla \times \mathbf{A}$$

$$\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{r}, t) = \frac{\mu_0}{4\pi} \int \frac{\mathbf{J}(\mathbf{r}', t)}{\|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'\|} d\tau'$$

Static potentials

Curl-less electric field

$$\nabla \times \mathbf{E} = \mathbf{0}$$

Static potential

$$\mathbf{E} = -\nabla V$$

$$V(\mathbf{r}, t) = \frac{1}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \int \frac{\rho(\mathbf{r}', t)}{\|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'\|} d\tau'$$

Divergenceless magnetic field

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{B} = 0$$

Vector potential

$$\mathbf{B} = \nabla \times \mathbf{A}$$

$$\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{r}, t) = \frac{\mu_0}{4\pi} \int \frac{\mathbf{J}(\mathbf{r}', t)}{\|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'\|} d\tau'$$

Static potentials

Curl-less electric field

$$\nabla \times \mathbf{E} = \mathbf{0}$$

Divergenceless magnetic field

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{B} = 0$$

Static potential

$$\mathbf{E} = -\nabla V$$

$$V(\mathbf{r}, t) = \frac{1}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \int \frac{\rho(\mathbf{r}', t)}{\|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'\|} d\tau'$$

Vector potential

$$\mathbf{B} = \nabla \times \mathbf{A}$$

$$\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{r}, t) = \frac{\mu_0}{4\pi} \int \frac{\mathbf{J}(\mathbf{r}', t)}{\|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'\|} d\tau'$$

Maxwell's Equations

In the beginning, God said

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \nabla \cdot \mathbf{E} = \frac{\rho}{\epsilon_0} \\ \nabla \cdot \mathbf{B} = 0 \\ \nabla \times \mathbf{E} = -\frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial t} \\ \nabla \times \mathbf{B} = \mu_0 \mathbf{J} + \mu_0 \epsilon_0 \frac{\partial \mathbf{E}}{\partial t} \end{array} \right. \quad (1)$$

Maxwell's Equations

In the beginning, God said

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \nabla \cdot \mathbf{E} = \frac{\rho}{\epsilon_0} \\ \nabla \cdot \mathbf{B} = 0 \\ \nabla \times \mathbf{E} = -\frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial t} \\ \nabla \times \mathbf{B} = \mu_0 \mathbf{J} + \mu_0 \epsilon_0 \frac{\partial \mathbf{E}}{\partial t} \end{array} \right. \quad (1)$$

Dynamic potentials

B keeps being divergenceless

$$\mathbf{B} = \nabla \times \mathbf{A}$$

Faraday and Helmholtz can be friends

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla \times \mathbf{E} &= -\frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial t} \\ \nabla \times \mathbf{E} &= -\frac{\partial}{\partial t}(\nabla \times \mathbf{A}) \\ \nabla \times \left(\mathbf{E} + \frac{\partial \mathbf{A}}{\partial t} \right) &= 0 \\ \mathbf{E} &= -\nabla V - \frac{\partial \mathbf{A}}{\partial t}\end{aligned}$$

Dynamic potentials

B keeps being divergenceless

$$\mathbf{B} = \nabla \times \mathbf{A}$$

Faraday and Helmholtz can be friends

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla \times \mathbf{E} &= -\frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial t} \\ \nabla \times \mathbf{E} &= -\frac{\partial}{\partial t}(\nabla \times \mathbf{A}) \\ \nabla \times \left(\mathbf{E} + \frac{\partial \mathbf{A}}{\partial t} \right) &= 0 \\ \mathbf{E} &= -\nabla V - \frac{\partial \mathbf{A}}{\partial t}\end{aligned}$$

A new PDE

Bringing Gauss' Law into the game

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{E} = \frac{\rho}{\epsilon_0}, \quad \mathbf{E} = -\nabla V - \frac{\partial \mathbf{A}}{\partial t}$$

You've unlocked a new equation!

$$\nabla^2 V + \frac{\partial}{\partial t}(\nabla \cdot \mathbf{A}) = -\frac{\rho}{\epsilon_0}$$

A new PDE

Bringing Gauss' Law into the game

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{E} = \frac{\rho}{\epsilon_0}, \quad \mathbf{E} = -\nabla V - \frac{\partial \mathbf{A}}{\partial t}$$

You've unlocked a new equation!

$$\nabla^2 V + \frac{\partial}{\partial t}(\nabla \cdot \mathbf{A}) = -\frac{\rho}{\epsilon_0}$$

Another new PDE

Bringing Ampère-Maxwell's Law into the game

$$\nabla \times \mathbf{B} = \mu_0 \mathbf{J} + \mu_0 \epsilon_0 \frac{\partial \mathbf{E}}{\partial t}, \quad \mathbf{E} = -\nabla V - \frac{\partial \mathbf{A}}{\partial t}, \quad \mathbf{B} = \nabla \times \mathbf{A}$$

Time for some hard work

$$\nabla \times (\nabla \times \mathbf{A}) = \mu_0 \mathbf{J} - \mu_0 \epsilon_0 \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\nabla V + \frac{\partial \mathbf{A}}{\partial t} \right)$$
$$\nabla \times (\nabla \times \mathbf{A}) = \mu_0 \mathbf{J} - \mu_0 \epsilon_0 \nabla \left(\frac{\partial V}{\partial t} \right) - \mu_0 \epsilon_0 \frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{A}}{\partial t^2}$$

Another new PDE

Bringing Ampère-Maxwell's Law into the game

$$\nabla \times \mathbf{B} = \mu_0 \mathbf{J} + \mu_0 \epsilon_0 \frac{\partial \mathbf{E}}{\partial t}, \quad \mathbf{E} = -\nabla V - \frac{\partial \mathbf{A}}{\partial t}, \quad \mathbf{B} = \nabla \times \mathbf{A}$$

Time for some hard work

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla \times (\nabla \times \mathbf{A}) &= \mu_0 \mathbf{J} - \mu_0 \epsilon_0 \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\nabla V + \frac{\partial \mathbf{A}}{\partial t} \right) \\ \nabla \times (\nabla \times \mathbf{A}) &= \mu_0 \mathbf{J} - \mu_0 \epsilon_0 \nabla \left(\frac{\partial V}{\partial t} \right) - \mu_0 \epsilon_0 \frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{A}}{\partial t^2} \end{aligned}$$

Another new PDE

Time for some hard work

$$\nabla \times (\nabla \times \mathbf{A}) = \mu_0 \mathbf{J} - \mu_0 \epsilon_0 \nabla \left(\frac{\partial V}{\partial t} \right) - \mu_0 \epsilon_0 \frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{A}}{\partial t^2}$$

Vector calculus identity

$$\nabla \times (\nabla \times \mathbf{F}) = \nabla(\nabla \cdot \mathbf{F}) - \nabla^2 \mathbf{F}$$

Now more hard work

$$\nabla(\nabla \cdot \mathbf{A}) - \nabla^2 \mathbf{A} = \mu_0 \mathbf{J} - \mu_0 \epsilon_0 \nabla \left(\frac{\partial V}{\partial t} \right) - \mu_0 \epsilon_0 \frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{A}}{\partial t^2}$$

$$\nabla(\nabla \cdot \mathbf{A}) + \nabla \left(\mu_0 \epsilon_0 \frac{\partial V}{\partial t} \right) - \nabla^2 \mathbf{A} + \mu_0 \epsilon_0 \frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{A}}{\partial t^2} = \mu_0 \mathbf{J}$$

Another new PDE

Time for some hard work

$$\nabla \times (\nabla \times \mathbf{A}) = \mu_0 \mathbf{J} - \mu_0 \epsilon_0 \nabla \left(\frac{\partial V}{\partial t} \right) - \mu_0 \epsilon_0 \frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{A}}{\partial t^2}$$

Vector calculus identity

$$\nabla \times (\nabla \times \mathbf{F}) = \nabla(\nabla \cdot \mathbf{F}) - \nabla^2 \mathbf{F}$$

Now more hard work

$$\nabla(\nabla \cdot \mathbf{A}) - \nabla^2 \mathbf{A} = \mu_0 \mathbf{J} - \mu_0 \epsilon_0 \nabla \left(\frac{\partial V}{\partial t} \right) - \mu_0 \epsilon_0 \frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{A}}{\partial t^2}$$

$$\nabla(\nabla \cdot \mathbf{A}) + \nabla \left(\mu_0 \epsilon_0 \frac{\partial V}{\partial t} \right) - \nabla^2 \mathbf{A} + \mu_0 \epsilon_0 \frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{A}}{\partial t^2} = \mu_0 \mathbf{J}$$

Another new PDE

Time for some hard work

$$\nabla \times (\nabla \times \mathbf{A}) = \mu_0 \mathbf{J} - \mu_0 \epsilon_0 \nabla \left(\frac{\partial V}{\partial t} \right) - \mu_0 \epsilon_0 \frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{A}}{\partial t^2}$$

Vector calculus identity

$$\nabla \times (\nabla \times \mathbf{F}) = \nabla(\nabla \cdot \mathbf{F}) - \nabla^2 \mathbf{F}$$

Now more hard work

$$\nabla(\nabla \cdot \mathbf{A}) - \nabla^2 \mathbf{A} = \mu_0 \mathbf{J} - \mu_0 \epsilon_0 \nabla \left(\frac{\partial V}{\partial t} \right) - \mu_0 \epsilon_0 \frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{A}}{\partial t^2}$$

$$\nabla(\nabla \cdot \mathbf{A}) + \nabla \left(\mu_0 \epsilon_0 \frac{\partial V}{\partial t} \right) - \nabla^2 \mathbf{A} + \mu_0 \epsilon_0 \frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{A}}{\partial t^2} = \mu_0 \mathbf{J}$$

Another new PDE

You've unlocked a new equation!

$$\nabla(\nabla \cdot \mathbf{A}) + \nabla\left(\mu_0\epsilon_0 \frac{\partial V}{\partial t}\right) - \nabla^2 \mathbf{A} + \mu_0\epsilon_0 \frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{A}}{\partial t^2} = \mu_0 \mathbf{J}$$

$$\nabla\left(\nabla \cdot \mathbf{A} + \mu_0\epsilon_0 \frac{\partial V}{\partial t}\right) - \left(\nabla^2 \mathbf{A} - \mu_0\epsilon_0 \frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{A}}{\partial t^2}\right) = \mu_0 \mathbf{J}$$

$$\left(\nabla^2 \mathbf{A} - \mu_0\epsilon_0 \frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{A}}{\partial t^2}\right) - \nabla\left(\nabla \cdot \mathbf{A} + \mu_0\epsilon_0 \frac{\partial V}{\partial t}\right) = -\mu_0 \mathbf{J}$$

Electrodynamics written with potentials

In the beginning, God said

$$\begin{cases} \nabla^2 V + \frac{\partial}{\partial t}(\nabla \cdot \mathbf{A}) = -\frac{\rho}{\epsilon_0} \\ \left(\nabla^2 \mathbf{A} - \mu_0 \epsilon_0 \frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{A}}{\partial t^2} \right) - \nabla \left(\nabla \cdot \mathbf{A} + \mu_0 \epsilon_0 \frac{\partial V}{\partial t} \right) = -\mu_0 \mathbf{J} \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

Thinking outside the box

Galilean Transformations

In Classical Mechanics, we may choose a reference system:

- Spatial translations
- Time translations
- Rotations
- Boosts

What are our freedoms?

Can we choose a “reference system” in Electrodynamics?

Thinking outside the box

Galilean Transformations

In Classical Mechanics, we may choose a reference system:

- Spatial translations
- Time translations
- Rotations
- Boosts

What are our freedoms?

Can we choose a “reference system” in Electrodynamics?

Thinking outside the box

Galilean Transformations

In Classical Mechanics, we may choose a reference system:

- Spatial translations
- Time translations
- Rotations
- Boosts

What are our freedoms?

Can we choose a “reference system” in Electrodynamics?

Thinking outside the box

Galilean Transformations

In Classical Mechanics, we may choose a reference system:

- Spatial translations
- Time translations
- Rotations
- Boosts

What are our freedoms?

Can we choose a “reference system” in Electrodynamics?

Thinking outside the box

Galilean Transformations

In Classical Mechanics, we may choose a reference system:

- Spatial translations
- Time translations
- Rotations
- Boosts

What are our freedoms?

Can we choose a “reference system” in Electrodynamics?

Thinking outside the box

The potentials are not unique

Let $\mathbf{A}' := \mathbf{A} + \mathbf{a}$ and $V' := V + b$. Then

- $\mathbf{B} = \nabla \times \mathbf{A} = \nabla \times \mathbf{A}' \Rightarrow \nabla \times \mathbf{a} = \mathbf{0}$
- $\mathbf{E} = -\nabla V - \frac{\partial \mathbf{A}}{\partial t} = -\nabla V' - \frac{\partial \mathbf{A}'}{\partial t} \Rightarrow \nabla b + \frac{\partial \mathbf{a}}{\partial t} = \mathbf{0}$
- $\mathbf{a} = \nabla \lambda$
- $\nabla b + \frac{\partial \mathbf{a}}{\partial t} = \nabla \left(b + \frac{\partial \lambda}{\partial t} \right) = \mathbf{0}$
- $b = -\frac{\partial \lambda}{\partial t} + \kappa(t)$
- We may let $\kappa(t)$ be part of λ

Thinking outside the box

The potentials are not unique

Let $\mathbf{A}' := \mathbf{A} + \mathbf{a}$ and $V' := V + b$. Then

- $\mathbf{B} = \nabla \times \mathbf{A} = \nabla \times \mathbf{A}' \Rightarrow \nabla \times \mathbf{a} = \mathbf{0}$
- $\mathbf{E} = -\nabla V - \frac{\partial \mathbf{A}}{\partial t} = -\nabla V' - \frac{\partial \mathbf{A}'}{\partial t} \Rightarrow \nabla b + \frac{\partial \mathbf{a}}{\partial t} = \mathbf{0}$
- $\mathbf{a} = \nabla \lambda$
- $\nabla b + \frac{\partial \mathbf{a}}{\partial t} = \nabla \left(b + \frac{\partial \lambda}{\partial t} \right) = \mathbf{0}$
- $b = -\frac{\partial \lambda}{\partial t} + \kappa(t)$
- We may let $\kappa(t)$ be part of λ

Thinking outside the box

The potentials are not unique

Let $\mathbf{A}' := \mathbf{A} + \mathbf{a}$ and $V' := V + b$. Then

- $\mathbf{B} = \nabla \times \mathbf{A} = \nabla \times \mathbf{A}' \Rightarrow \nabla \times \mathbf{a} = \mathbf{0}$
- $\mathbf{E} = -\nabla V - \frac{\partial \mathbf{A}}{\partial t} = -\nabla V' - \frac{\partial \mathbf{A}'}{\partial t} \Rightarrow \nabla b + \frac{\partial \mathbf{a}}{\partial t} = \mathbf{0}$
- $\mathbf{a} = \nabla \lambda$
- $\nabla b + \frac{\partial \mathbf{a}}{\partial t} = \nabla \left(b + \frac{\partial \lambda}{\partial t} \right) = \mathbf{0}$
- $b = -\frac{\partial \lambda}{\partial t} + \kappa(t)$
- We may let $\kappa(t)$ be part of λ

Thinking outside the box

The potentials are not unique

Let $\mathbf{A}' := \mathbf{A} + \mathbf{a}$ and $V' := V + b$. Then

- $\mathbf{B} = \nabla \times \mathbf{A} = \nabla \times \mathbf{A}' \Rightarrow \nabla \times \mathbf{a} = \mathbf{0}$
- $\mathbf{E} = -\nabla V - \frac{\partial \mathbf{A}}{\partial t} = -\nabla V' - \frac{\partial \mathbf{A}'}{\partial t} \Rightarrow \nabla b + \frac{\partial \mathbf{a}}{\partial t} = \mathbf{0}$
- $\mathbf{a} = \nabla \lambda$
 - $\nabla b + \frac{\partial \mathbf{a}}{\partial t} = \nabla \left(b + \frac{\partial \lambda}{\partial t} \right) = \mathbf{0}$
 - $b = -\frac{\partial \lambda}{\partial t} + \kappa(t)$
 - We may let $\kappa(t)$ be part of λ

Thinking outside the box

The potentials are not unique

Let $\mathbf{A}' := \mathbf{A} + \mathbf{a}$ and $V' := V + b$. Then

- $\mathbf{B} = \nabla \times \mathbf{A} = \nabla \times \mathbf{A}' \Rightarrow \nabla \times \mathbf{a} = \mathbf{0}$
- $\mathbf{E} = -\nabla V - \frac{\partial \mathbf{A}}{\partial t} = -\nabla V' - \frac{\partial \mathbf{A}'}{\partial t} \Rightarrow \nabla b + \frac{\partial \mathbf{a}}{\partial t} = \mathbf{0}$
- $\mathbf{a} = \nabla \lambda$
- $\nabla b + \frac{\partial \mathbf{a}}{\partial t} = \nabla \left(b + \frac{\partial \lambda}{\partial t} \right) = \mathbf{0}$
- $b = -\frac{\partial \lambda}{\partial t} + \kappa(t)$
- We may let $\kappa(t)$ be part of λ

Thinking outside the box

The potentials are not unique

Let $\mathbf{A}' := \mathbf{A} + \mathbf{a}$ and $V' := V + b$. Then

- $\mathbf{B} = \nabla \times \mathbf{A} = \nabla \times \mathbf{A}' \Rightarrow \nabla \times \mathbf{a} = \mathbf{0}$
- $\mathbf{E} = -\nabla V - \frac{\partial \mathbf{A}}{\partial t} = -\nabla V' - \frac{\partial \mathbf{A}'}{\partial t} \Rightarrow \nabla b + \frac{\partial \mathbf{a}}{\partial t} = \mathbf{0}$
- $\mathbf{a} = \nabla \lambda$
- $\nabla b + \frac{\partial \mathbf{a}}{\partial t} = \nabla \left(b + \frac{\partial \lambda}{\partial t} \right) = \mathbf{0}$
- $b = -\frac{\partial \lambda}{\partial t} + \kappa(t)$
- We may let $\kappa(t)$ be part of λ

Thinking outside the box

The potentials are not unique

Let $\mathbf{A}' := \mathbf{A} + \mathbf{a}$ and $V' := V + b$. Then

- $\mathbf{B} = \nabla \times \mathbf{A} = \nabla \times \mathbf{A}' \Rightarrow \nabla \times \mathbf{a} = \mathbf{0}$
- $\mathbf{E} = -\nabla V - \frac{\partial \mathbf{A}}{\partial t} = -\nabla V' - \frac{\partial \mathbf{A}'}{\partial t} \Rightarrow \nabla b + \frac{\partial \mathbf{a}}{\partial t} = \mathbf{0}$
- $\mathbf{a} = \nabla \lambda$
- $\nabla b + \frac{\partial \mathbf{a}}{\partial t} = \nabla \left(b + \frac{\partial \lambda}{\partial t} \right) = \mathbf{0}$
- $b = -\frac{\partial \lambda}{\partial t} + \kappa(t)$
- We may let $\kappa(t)$ be part of λ

Gauge freedom

There is symmetry in the potentials!

$$\begin{cases} \mathbf{A}' = \mathbf{A} + \nabla\lambda \\ V' = V - \frac{\partial\lambda}{\partial t} \end{cases} \quad (3)$$

We may choose the value of $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{A}$

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{A}' = \nabla \cdot \mathbf{A} + \nabla^2\lambda$$

Gauge freedom

There is symmetry in the potentials!

$$\begin{cases} \mathbf{A}' = \mathbf{A} + \nabla\lambda \\ V' = V - \frac{\partial\lambda}{\partial t} \end{cases} \quad (3)$$

We may choose the value of $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{A}$

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{A}' = \nabla \cdot \mathbf{A} + \nabla^2\lambda$$

Coulomb gauge

Choosing the gauge

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{A} = 0$$

In the beginning, God said

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \nabla^2 V = -\frac{\rho}{\epsilon_0} \\ \left(\nabla^2 \mathbf{A} - \mu_0 \epsilon_0 \frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{A}}{\partial t^2} \right) - \mu_0 \epsilon_0 \nabla \left(\frac{\partial V}{\partial t} \right) = -\mu_0 \mathbf{J} \end{array} \right. \quad (4)$$

Lorenz gauge

Choosing the gauge

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{A} = -\mu_0 \epsilon_0 \frac{\partial V}{\partial t}$$

In the beginning, God said

$$\begin{cases} \nabla^2 V - \mu_0 \epsilon_0 \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial t^2} = -\frac{\rho}{\epsilon_0} \\ \nabla^2 \mathbf{A} - \mu_0 \epsilon_0 \frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{A}}{\partial t^2} = -\mu_0 \mathbf{J} \end{cases} \quad (5)$$

Reviewing the potentials

What do we have so far?

- How to calculate the static potentials
- The information travels at a speed $c = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\mu_0 \epsilon_0}}$
- Imagination

Could we calculate the present potentials with retarded times?

$$t_r = t - \frac{R}{c}, \quad R \equiv \|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'\|$$

Reviewing the potentials

What do we have so far?

- How to calculate the static potentials
- The information travels at a speed $c = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\mu_0\epsilon_0}}$
- Imagination

Could we calculate the present potentials with retarded times?

$$t_r = t - \frac{R}{c}, \quad R \equiv \|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'\|$$

Reviewing the potentials

What do we have so far?

- How to calculate the static potentials
- The information travels at a speed $c = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\mu_0\epsilon_0}}$
- Imagination

Could we calculate the present potentials with retarded times?

$$t_r = t - \frac{R}{c}, \quad R \equiv \|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'\|$$

Reviewing the potentials

What do we have so far?

- How to calculate the static potentials
- The information travels at a speed $c = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\mu_0 \epsilon_0}}$
- Imagination

Could we calculate the present potentials with retarded times?

$$t_r = t - \frac{R}{c}, \quad R \equiv \|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'\|$$

Resolution proposal

Static potentials

$$V(\mathbf{r}, t) = \frac{1}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \int \frac{\rho(\mathbf{r}', t)}{R} d\tau', \quad \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{r}, t) = \frac{\mu_0}{4\pi} \int \frac{\mathbf{J}(\mathbf{r}')}{R} d\tau'$$

Dynamic potentials

$$V(\mathbf{r}, t) = \frac{1}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \int \frac{\rho(\mathbf{r}', t - \frac{R}{c})}{R} d\tau', \quad \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{r}, t) = \frac{\mu_0}{4\pi} \int \frac{\mathbf{J}(\mathbf{r}', t - \frac{R}{c})}{R} d\tau'$$

Resolution proposal

Static potentials

$$V(\mathbf{r}, t) = \frac{1}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \int \frac{\rho(\mathbf{r}', t)}{R} d\tau', \quad \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{r}, t) = \frac{\mu_0}{4\pi} \int \frac{\mathbf{J}(\mathbf{r}')}{R} d\tau'$$

Dynamic potentials

$$V(\mathbf{r}, t) = \frac{1}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \int \frac{\rho(\mathbf{r}', t - \frac{R}{c})}{R} d\tau', \quad \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{r}, t) = \frac{\mu_0}{4\pi} \int \frac{\mathbf{J}(\mathbf{r}', t - \frac{R}{c})}{R} d\tau'$$

Can it solve the wave equation?

Riemann's proof (for the scalar potential)

- Let $\mathcal{V} = \mathcal{V}_1 \sqcup \mathcal{V}_2$, where \mathcal{V} is the volume in which we integrate and $\mathbf{r} \in \mathcal{V}_1$
- Let V_1 and V_2 be the "partial potentials", *i.e.*,

$$V_i(\mathbf{r}, t) = \frac{1}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \int_{\mathcal{V}_i} \frac{\rho(\mathbf{r}', t - \frac{R}{c})}{R} d\tau'$$

- Notice that $V = V_1 + V_2$

Can it solve the wave equation?

Riemann's proof (for the scalar potential)

- Let $\mathcal{V} = \mathcal{V}_1 \sqcup \mathcal{V}_2$, where \mathcal{V} is the volume in which we integrate and $\mathbf{r} \in \mathcal{V}_1$
- Let V_1 and V_2 be the “partial potentials”, *i.e.*,

$$V_i(\mathbf{r}, t) = \frac{1}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \int_{\mathcal{V}_i} \frac{\rho(\mathbf{r}', t - \frac{R}{c})}{R} d\tau'$$

- Notice that $V = V_1 + V_2$

Can it solve the wave equation?

Riemann's proof (for the scalar potential)

- Let $\mathcal{V} = \mathcal{V}_1 \sqcup \mathcal{V}_2$, where \mathcal{V} is the volume in which we integrate and $\mathbf{r} \in \mathcal{V}_1$
- Let V_1 and V_2 be the “partial potentials”, *i.e.*,

$$V_i(\mathbf{r}, t) = \frac{1}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \int_{\mathcal{V}_i} \frac{\rho(\mathbf{r}', t - \frac{R}{c})}{R} d\tau'$$

- Notice that $V = V_1 + V_2$

Can it solve the wave equation?

Riemann's proof (for the scalar potential)

- Let \mathcal{V}_1 be very small. Then

$$\rho\left(\mathbf{r}', t - \frac{R}{c}\right) \rightarrow \rho(\mathbf{r}', t)$$
$$V_1(\mathbf{r}, t) = \frac{1}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \int_{\mathcal{V}_1} \frac{\rho(\mathbf{r}', t)}{R} d\tau'$$

- V_1 is the static potential! Therefore,

$$\nabla^2 V_1 = -\frac{\rho}{\epsilon_0}$$

Can it solve the wave equation?

Riemann's proof (for the scalar potential)

- Let \mathcal{V}_1 be very small. Then

$$\rho\left(\mathbf{r}', t - \frac{R}{c}\right) \rightarrow \rho(\mathbf{r}', t)$$
$$V_1(\mathbf{r}, t) = \frac{1}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \int_{\mathcal{V}_1} \frac{\rho(\mathbf{r}', t)}{R} d\tau'$$

- V_1 is the static potential! Therefore,

$$\nabla^2 V_1 = -\frac{\rho}{\epsilon_0}$$

Can it solve the wave equation?

Laplacian in spherical coordinates

$$\nabla^2 \xi = \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left(r^2 \frac{\partial \xi}{\partial r} \right) + \frac{1}{r^2 \sin \theta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \left(\sin \theta \frac{\partial \xi}{\partial \theta} \right) + \frac{1}{r^2 \sin^2 \theta} \frac{\partial^2 \xi}{\partial \phi^2}$$

Riemann's proof (for the scalar potential)

- $R = ||r - r' ||$ is spherically symmetric around a fixed r'
- $\rho(r', t - \frac{R}{c}) / R$ must be as well

Can it solve the wave equation?

Laplacian in spherical coordinates

$$\nabla^2 \xi = \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left(r^2 \frac{\partial \xi}{\partial r} \right) + \frac{1}{r^2 \sin \theta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \left(\sin \theta \frac{\partial \xi}{\partial \theta} \right) + \frac{1}{r^2 \sin^2 \theta} \frac{\partial^2 \xi}{\partial \phi^2}$$

Riemann's proof (for the scalar potential)

- $R = \|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'\|$ is spherically symmetric around a fixed \mathbf{r}'
- $\rho(\mathbf{r}', t - \frac{R}{c}) / R$ must be as well
- The Laplacian gets simplified!

Can it solve the wave equation?

Laplacian in spherical coordinates

$$\nabla^2 \xi = \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left(r^2 \frac{\partial \xi}{\partial r} \right) + \frac{1}{r^2 \sin \theta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \left(\sin \theta \frac{\partial \xi}{\partial \theta} \right) + \frac{1}{r^2 \sin^2 \theta} \frac{\partial^2 \xi}{\partial \phi^2}$$

Riemann's proof (for the scalar potential)

- $R = \|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'\|$ is spherically symmetric around a fixed \mathbf{r}'
- $\rho(\mathbf{r}', t - \frac{R}{c}) / R$ must be as well
- The Laplacian gets simplified!

Can it solve the wave equation?

Laplacian in spherical coordinates

$$\nabla^2 \xi = \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left(r^2 \frac{\partial \xi}{\partial r} \right) + \frac{1}{r^2 \sin \theta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \left(\sin \theta \frac{\partial \xi}{\partial \theta} \right) + \frac{1}{r^2 \sin^2 \theta} \frac{\partial^2 \xi}{\partial \phi^2}$$

Riemann's proof (for the scalar potential)

- $R = \|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'\|$ is spherically symmetric around a fixed \mathbf{r}'
- $\rho(\mathbf{r}', t - \frac{R}{c}) / R$ must be as well
- The Laplacian gets simplified!

Can it solve the wave equation?

Riemann's proof (for the scalar potential)

- The Laplacian gets simplified!

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla^2\left(\frac{\rho}{R}\right) &= \frac{1}{R^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial R} \left(R^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial R} \left(\frac{\rho}{R} \right) \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{R^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial R} \left(R \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial R} - \rho \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{R^2} \left(R \frac{\partial^2 \rho}{\partial R^2} + \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial R} - \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial R} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{R} \frac{\partial^2 \rho}{\partial R^2}\end{aligned}$$

Can it solve the wave equation?

Riemann's proof (for the scalar potential)

- Back to the potentials, we can see that

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla^2 V_2 &= \frac{1}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \int_{\mathcal{V}_2} \nabla^2 \left(\frac{\rho(\mathbf{r}', t - \frac{R}{c})}{R} \right) d\tau' \\ &= \frac{1}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \int_{\mathcal{V}_2} \frac{1}{R} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial R^2} \rho \left(\mathbf{r}', t - \frac{R}{c} \right) d\tau'\end{aligned}$$

- However, a function of the form $u(t - \frac{R}{c})$ satisfies the one-dimensional wave equation

$$\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial R^2} - \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial t^2} = 0$$

Can it solve the wave equation?

Riemann's proof (for the scalar potential)

- Back to the potentials, we can see that

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla^2 V_2 &= \frac{1}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \int_{\mathcal{V}_2} \nabla^2 \left(\frac{\rho(\mathbf{r}', t - \frac{R}{c})}{R} \right) d\tau' \\ &= \frac{1}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \int_{\mathcal{V}_2} \frac{1}{R} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial R^2} \rho \left(\mathbf{r}', t - \frac{R}{c} \right) d\tau'\end{aligned}$$

- However, a function of the form $u(t - \frac{R}{c})$ satisfies the one-dimensional wave equation

$$\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial R^2} - \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial t^2} = 0$$

Can it solve the wave equation?

Riemann's proof (for the scalar potential)

- Luckily, $\rho\left(\mathbf{r}', t - \frac{R}{c}\right)$ has this form! Therefore,

$$\frac{\partial^2 \rho}{\partial R^2} - \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial^2 \rho}{\partial t^2} = 0$$

- We see now that

$$\nabla^2 V_2 = \frac{1}{4\pi\epsilon_0 c^2} \int_{V_2} \frac{1}{R} \frac{\partial^2 \rho}{\partial t^2} \left(\mathbf{r}', t - \frac{R}{c} \right) d\tau'$$

Can it solve the wave equation?

Riemann's proof (for the scalar potential)

- Luckily, $\rho\left(\mathbf{r}', t - \frac{R}{c}\right)$ has this form! Therefore,

$$\frac{\partial^2 \rho}{\partial R^2} - \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial^2 \rho}{\partial t^2} = 0$$

- We see now that

$$\nabla^2 V_2 = \frac{1}{4\pi\epsilon_0 c^2} \int_{V_2} \frac{1}{R} \frac{\partial^2 \rho}{\partial t^2} \left(\mathbf{r}', t - \frac{R}{c}\right) d\tau'$$

Can it solve the wave equation?

Riemann's proof (for the scalar potential)

- With some mathematical mambo jambo we get that

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla^2 V_2 &= \frac{1}{4\pi\epsilon_0 c^2} \int_{\mathcal{V}_2} \frac{1}{R} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} \rho \left(\mathbf{r}', t - \frac{R}{c} \right) d\tau' \\ &= \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} \frac{1}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \int_{\mathcal{V}_2} \frac{\rho \left(\mathbf{r}', t - \frac{R}{c} \right)}{R} d\tau' \\ &= \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial^2 V_2}{\partial t^2}\end{aligned}$$

Can it solve the wave equation?

Riemann's proof (for the scalar potential)

- Finally, if we let $\mathcal{V}_1 \rightarrow 0$, then $\mathcal{V}_2 \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$ and it will follow that

$$\nabla^2 V_2 = \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial t^2}$$

- We already know that

$$\nabla^2 V_1 = -\frac{\rho}{\epsilon_0}$$

- Let's add them up!

$$\nabla^2 V_1 + \nabla^2 V_2 = \nabla^2 V_1 + V_2 = \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial t^2} - \frac{\rho}{\epsilon_0}$$

Can it solve the wave equation?

Riemann's proof (for the scalar potential)

- Finally, if we let $\mathcal{V}_1 \rightarrow 0$, then $\mathcal{V}_2 \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$ and it will follow that

$$\nabla^2 V_2 = \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial t^2}$$

- We already know that

$$\nabla^2 V_1 = -\frac{\rho}{\epsilon_0}$$

- Let's add them up!

$$\nabla^2 V_1 + \nabla^2 V_2 = \nabla^2 V_1 + V_2 = \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial t^2} - \frac{\rho}{\epsilon_0}$$

Can it solve the wave equation?

Riemann's proof (for the scalar potential)

- Finally, if we let $\mathcal{V}_1 \rightarrow 0$, then $\mathcal{V}_2 \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$ and it will follow that

$$\nabla^2 V_2 = \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial t^2}$$

- We already know that

$$\nabla^2 V_1 = -\frac{\rho}{\epsilon_0}$$

- Let's add them up!

$$\nabla^2 V_1 + \nabla^2 V_2 = \nabla^2 V_1 + V_2 = \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial t^2} - \frac{\rho}{\epsilon_0}$$

Can it solve the wave equation?

Riemann's proof (for the scalar potential)

- Through this process, we finally get that, indeed,

$$\nabla^2 V - \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial t^2} = -\frac{\rho}{\epsilon_0}$$

- The proof for \mathbf{A} is analogous
- The argument also holds for $t_a = t + \frac{R}{c}$

Can it solve the wave equation?

Riemann's proof (for the scalar potential)

- Through this process, we finally get that, indeed,

$$\nabla^2 V - \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial t^2} = -\frac{\rho}{\epsilon_0}$$

- The proof for \mathbf{A} is analogous
- The argument also holds for $t_a = t + \frac{R}{c}$

Can it solve the wave equation?

Riemann's proof (for the scalar potential)

- Through this process, we finally get that, indeed,

$$\nabla^2 V - \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial t^2} = -\frac{\rho}{\epsilon_0}$$

- The proof for \mathbf{A} is analogous
- The argument also holds for $t_a = t + \frac{R}{c}$

Why care about checking?

Intuition seems to fail for the electromagnetic fields

$$\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r}, t) \neq \frac{1}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \int \frac{\rho(\mathbf{r}', t - \frac{R}{c})}{R^2} \hat{\mathbf{R}} d\tau'$$

$$\mathbf{B}(\mathbf{r}, t) \neq \frac{\mu_0}{4\pi} \int \frac{\mathbf{J}(\mathbf{r}', t - \frac{R}{c}) \times \hat{\mathbf{R}}}{R^2} d\tau'$$

What did we do wrong?

“Where physical intuition can't go, we bring math, *i.e.*, we bring math almost everywhere.”

Why care about checking?

Intuition seems to fail for the electromagnetic fields

$$\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r}, t) \neq \frac{1}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \int \frac{\rho(\mathbf{r}', t - \frac{R}{c})}{R^2} \hat{\mathbf{R}} d\tau'$$

$$\mathbf{B}(\mathbf{r}, t) \neq \frac{\mu_0}{4\pi} \int \frac{\mathbf{J}(\mathbf{r}', t - \frac{R}{c}) \times \hat{\mathbf{R}}}{R^2} d\tau'$$

What did we do wrong?

“Where physical intuition can't go, we bring math, *i.e.*, we bring math almost everywhere.”

Retracing our steps

What did we do right?

- Express the potentials in the Lorenz gauge
- Find the source terms in the wave equations
- Use the knowledge that information propagates at a speed c
- “Solve” the inhomogeneous three-dimensional wave-equation and pretend we didn't notice
- Fix the static case

Retracing our steps

What did we do right?

- Express the potentials in the Lorenz gauge
- Find the source terms in the wave equations
- Use the knowledge that information propagates at a speed c
- “Solve” the inhomogeneous three-dimensional wave-equation and pretend we didn't notice
- Fix the static case

Retracing our steps

What did we do right?

- Express the potentials in the Lorenz gauge
- Find the source terms in the wave equations
- Use the knowledge that information propagates at a speed c
- “Solve” the inhomogeneous three-dimensional wave-equation and pretend we didn't notice
- Fix the static case

Retracing our steps

What did we do right?

- Express the potentials in the Lorenz gauge
- Find the source terms in the wave equations
- Use the knowledge that information propagates at a speed c
- “Solve” the inhomogeneous three-dimensional wave-equation and pretend we didn't notice
- Fix the static case

Retracing our steps

What did we do right?

- Express the potentials in the Lorenz gauge
- Find the source terms in the wave equations
- Use the knowledge that information propagates at a speed c
- “Solve” the inhomogeneous three-dimensional wave-equation and pretend we didn't notice
- Fix the static case

Electromagnetic waves

Exercise

Use Maxwell's Equations and the following identity

$$\nabla \times (\nabla \times \mathbf{F}) = \nabla(\nabla \cdot \mathbf{F}) - \nabla^2 \mathbf{F}$$

to prove that

$$\begin{cases} \nabla^2 \mathbf{E} - \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{E}}{\partial t^2} = \frac{\nabla \rho}{\epsilon_0} + \mu_0 \frac{\partial \mathbf{J}}{\partial t} \\ \nabla^2 \mathbf{B} - \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{B}}{\partial t^2} = -\mu_0 \nabla \times \mathbf{J} \end{cases} \quad (6)$$

Back to intuition

Same equation, same rules

The solutions to the wave equations should be (and are, indeed)

$$\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r}, t) = -\frac{1}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \int \frac{(\nabla\rho)(\mathbf{r}', t - \frac{R}{c})}{R} d\tau' - \frac{\mu_0}{4\pi} \int \frac{1}{R} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \mathbf{J} \left(\mathbf{r}', t - \frac{R}{c} \right) d\tau'$$

$$\mathbf{B}(\mathbf{r}, t) = \frac{\mu_0}{4\pi} \int \frac{(\nabla \times \mathbf{J})(\mathbf{r}', t - \frac{R}{c})}{R} d\tau'$$
(7)

The Road to E-dorado

Rewriting $(\nabla\rho)(\mathbf{r}', t_r)$

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla'\rho(\mathbf{r}', t_r) &= (\nabla\rho)(\mathbf{r}', t_r) + \frac{\partial\rho}{\partial t}\nabla't_r \\ &= (\nabla\rho)(\mathbf{r}', t_r) + \frac{\partial\rho}{\partial t}\nabla'\left(t - \frac{R}{c}\right) \\ &= (\nabla\rho)(\mathbf{r}', t_r) + \frac{1}{c}\frac{\partial\rho}{\partial t}\hat{\mathbf{R}}\end{aligned}$$

The Road to \mathbf{E} -dorado

Notation

From now on, we will write $[\chi]$ to denote $\chi(\mathbf{r}', t_r)$, *i.e.*, $[\chi]$ denotes the retarded χ

Substituting in \mathbf{E}

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r}, t) &= -\frac{1}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \int \frac{[\nabla\rho]}{R} d\tau' - \frac{\mu_0}{4\pi} \int \frac{[\dot{\mathbf{j}}]}{R} d\tau' \\ &= \frac{1}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \int \left[-\frac{[\nabla'\rho]}{R} + \frac{[\dot{\rho}]}{cR} \hat{\mathbf{R}} - \frac{[\dot{\mathbf{j}}]}{c^2R} \right] d\tau' \end{aligned}$$

The Road to E-dorado

Stokes' Theorem

$$\int_{\mathcal{V}} \nabla T \, d\tau = \oint_{\partial\mathcal{V}} T \, d\mathbf{S}$$

Back to the gradient

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathcal{V}} \frac{[\nabla' \rho]}{R} \, d\tau' &= \int_{\mathcal{V}} \left[\nabla' \left(\frac{[\rho]}{R} \right) - [\rho] \nabla' \left(\frac{1}{R} \right) \right] \, d\tau' \\ &= \oint_{\partial\mathcal{V}} \frac{[\rho]}{R} \, d\mathbf{S}' - \int_{\mathcal{V}} [\rho] \nabla' \left(\frac{1}{R} \right) \, d\tau' \end{aligned}$$

The Road to E-dorado

Stokes' Theorem

$$\int_{\mathcal{V}} \nabla T \, d\tau = \oint_{\partial\mathcal{V}} T \, d\mathbf{S}$$

Back to the gradient

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathcal{V}} \frac{[\nabla' \rho]}{R} \, d\tau' &= \int_{\mathcal{V}} \left[\nabla' \left(\frac{[\rho]}{R} \right) - [\rho] \nabla' \left(\frac{1}{R} \right) \right] \, d\tau' \\ &= \oint_{\partial\mathcal{V}} \frac{[\rho]}{R} \, d\mathbf{S}' - \int_{\mathcal{V}} [\rho] \nabla' \left(\frac{1}{R} \right) \, d\tau' \end{aligned}$$

The Road to E-dorado

Back to the gradient

$$\int_{\mathcal{V}} \frac{[\nabla' \rho]}{R} d\tau' = \oint_{\partial\mathcal{V}} \frac{[\rho]}{R} d\mathbf{S}' - \int_{\mathcal{V}} [\rho] \nabla' \left(\frac{1}{R} \right) d\tau'$$

Since the integration is carried over all space and the charges vanish when $r \rightarrow \infty$, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \oint_{\partial\mathcal{V}} \frac{[\rho]}{R} d\mathbf{S}' &= \mathbf{0} \\ \int_{\mathcal{V}} \frac{[\nabla' \rho]}{R} d\tau' &= - \int_{\mathcal{V}} [\rho] \nabla' \left(\frac{1}{R} \right) d\tau' \\ \int_{\mathcal{V}} \frac{[\nabla' \rho]}{R} d\tau' &= - \int_{\mathcal{V}} [\rho] \frac{\hat{\mathbf{R}}}{R^2} d\tau' \end{aligned}$$

The Road to E-dorado

Jefimenko's Equation for the electric field

$$\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r}, t) = \frac{1}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \int \left[\frac{[\rho]}{R^2} \hat{\mathbf{R}} + \frac{[\dot{\rho}]}{cR} \hat{\mathbf{R}} - \frac{[\mathbf{j}]}{c^2 R} \right] d\tau'$$

Who can it \mathbf{B} now?

Known solution

$$\mathbf{B}(\mathbf{r}, t) = \frac{\mu_0}{4\pi} \int \frac{(\nabla \times \mathbf{J})(\mathbf{r}', t - \frac{R}{c})}{R} d\tau'$$

Rewriting $(\nabla \times \mathbf{J})(\mathbf{r}', t - \frac{R}{c})$

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla' \times [\mathbf{J}] &= [\nabla \times \mathbf{J}] + \nabla' t_r \times \left[\frac{\partial \mathbf{J}}{\partial t_r} \right] \\ &= [\nabla \times \mathbf{J}] + \frac{1}{c} \hat{\mathbf{R}} \times \left[\frac{\partial \mathbf{J}}{\partial t_r} \right] \\ &= [\nabla \times \mathbf{J}] - \frac{[\mathbf{j}]}{c} \times \hat{\mathbf{R}} \end{aligned}$$

Who can it \mathbf{B} now?

Known solution

$$\mathbf{B}(\mathbf{r}, t) = \frac{\mu_0}{4\pi} \int \frac{(\nabla \times \mathbf{J})(\mathbf{r}', t - \frac{R}{c})}{R} d\tau'$$

Rewriting $(\nabla \times \mathbf{J})(\mathbf{r}', t - \frac{R}{c})$

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla' \times [\mathbf{J}] &= [\nabla \times \mathbf{J}] + \nabla' t_r \times \left[\frac{\partial \mathbf{J}}{\partial t_r} \right] \\ &= [\nabla \times \mathbf{J}] + \frac{1}{c} \hat{\mathbf{R}} \times \left[\frac{\partial \mathbf{J}}{\partial t_r} \right] \\ &= [\nabla \times \mathbf{J}] - \frac{[\dot{\mathbf{j}}]}{c} \times \hat{\mathbf{R}} \end{aligned}$$

Who can it \mathbf{B} now?

Rewriting $(\nabla \times \mathbf{J})\left(\mathbf{r}', t - \frac{R}{c}\right)$

$$\nabla' \times [\mathbf{J}] = [\nabla \times \mathbf{J}] - \frac{[\dot{\mathbf{j}}]}{c} \times \hat{\mathbf{R}}$$

Substituting in \mathbf{B}

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{r}, t) &= \frac{\mu_0}{4\pi} \int \frac{[\nabla \times \mathbf{J}]}{R} d\tau' \\ &= \frac{\mu_0}{4\pi} \int \frac{\nabla' \times [\mathbf{J}]}{R} + \frac{[\dot{\mathbf{j}}] \times \hat{\mathbf{R}}}{cR} d\tau' \end{aligned}$$

Who can it \mathbf{B} now?

Stokes' Theorem

$$\int_{\mathcal{V}} \nabla \times \mathbf{T} \, d\tau = - \oint_{\partial\mathcal{V}} \mathbf{T} \times d\mathbf{S}$$

Back to the curl

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathcal{V}} \frac{\nabla' \times [\mathbf{J}]}{R} \, d\tau' &= \int_{\mathcal{V}} \nabla' \times \left(\frac{[\mathbf{J}]}{R} \right) \, d\tau' + \int_{\mathcal{V}} [\mathbf{J}] \times \nabla' \left(\frac{1}{R} \right) \, d\tau' \\ &= - \oint_{\partial\mathcal{V}} \frac{[\mathbf{J}]}{R} \times d\mathbf{S}' + \int_{\mathcal{V}} [\mathbf{J}] \times \nabla' \left(\frac{1}{R} \right) \, d\tau' \end{aligned}$$

Who can it \mathbf{B} now?

Back to the curl

$$\int_{\mathcal{V}} \frac{\nabla' \times [\mathbf{J}]}{R} d\tau' = - \oint_{\partial\mathcal{V}} \frac{[\mathbf{J}]}{R} \times d\mathbf{S}' + \int_{\mathcal{V}} [\mathbf{J}] \times \nabla' \left(\frac{1}{R} \right) d\tau'$$

Since the integration is carried over all space and the currents vanish when $r \rightarrow \infty$, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \oint_{\partial\mathcal{V}} \frac{[\mathbf{J}]}{R} \times d\mathbf{S}' &= \mathbf{0} \\ \int \frac{\nabla' \times [\mathbf{J}]}{R} d\tau' &= \int [\mathbf{J}] \times \nabla' \left(\frac{1}{R} \right) d\tau' \\ \int \frac{\nabla' \times [\mathbf{J}]}{R} d\tau' &= \int [\mathbf{J}] \times \frac{\hat{\mathbf{R}}}{R^2} d\tau' \end{aligned}$$

Who can it **B** now?

Jefimenko's Equation for the magnetic field

$$\mathbf{B}(\mathbf{r}, t) = \frac{\mu_0}{4\pi} \int \left[\frac{[\mathbf{J}]}{R^2} + \frac{[\dot{\mathbf{j}}]}{cR} \right] \times \hat{\mathbf{R}} d\tau'$$

A solution to Maxwell's Equations

Jefimenko's Equations

$$\begin{cases} \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r}, t) = \frac{1}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \int \left[\frac{[\rho]}{R^2} \hat{\mathbf{R}} + \frac{[\dot{\rho}]}{cR} \hat{\mathbf{R}} - \frac{[\mathbf{j}]}{c^2 R} \right] d\tau' \\ \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{r}, t) = \frac{\mu_0}{4\pi} \int \left[\frac{[\mathbf{J}]}{R^2} + \frac{[\dot{\mathbf{j}}]}{cR} \right] \times \hat{\mathbf{R}} d\tau' \end{cases} \quad (8)$$

Starting over

What about the potential formulation?

One might use the retarded potentials and the identities

$$\mathbf{E} = -\nabla V - \frac{\partial \mathbf{A}}{\partial t}, \quad \mathbf{B} = \nabla \times \mathbf{A}$$

to obtain Jefimenko's Equations

Final remarks

Jefimenko's Equations

- The electromagnetic fields are generated directly by the charges and currents
- The treatment must be more careful than the one used to obtain the retarded potentials
- Reduce to Coulomb's and Biot-Savart's Laws in the static limit

Physical intuition

Final remarks

Jefimenko's Equations

- The electromagnetic fields are generated directly by the charges and currents
- The treatment must be more careful than the one used to obtain the retarded potentials
- Reduce to Coulomb's and Biot-Savart's Laws in the static limit

Physical intuition

- Valuable, but dangerous

Final remarks

Jefimenko's Equations

- The electromagnetic fields are generated directly by the charges and currents
- The treatment must be more careful than the one used to obtain the retarded potentials
- Reduce to Coulomb's and Biot-Savart's Laws in the static limit

Physical intuition

- Valuable, but dangerous
- When used without the appropriate mathematical care, may lead to doubtful - i.e., false - results

Final remarks

Jefimenko's Equations

- The electromagnetic fields are generated directly by the charges and currents
- The treatment must be more careful than the one used to obtain the retarded potentials
- Reduce to Coulomb's and Biot-Savart's Laws in the static limit

Physical intuition

- Valuable, but dangerous
- When used without the appropriate mathematical care, may lead to doubtful - *i.e.*, false - results

Final remarks






Jefimenko's Equations

- The electromagnetic fields are generated directly by the charges and currents
- The treatment must be more careful than the one used to obtain the retarded potentials
- Reduce to Coulomb's and Biot-Savart's Laws in the static limit

Physical intuition

- Valuable, but dangerous
- When used without the appropriate mathematical care, may lead to doubtful - *i.e.*, false - results

References

-  Griffiths, D. J. *Introduction to Electrodynamics*. 4th ed., 436–451 (Pearson Education, 2012).
-  Griffiths, D. J. & Heald, M. A. Time-dependent generalizations of the Biot–Savart and Coulomb laws. *American Journal of Physics* **59**, 111–117 (1991).
-  Heald, M. A. & Marion, J. B. *Classical Electromagnetic Radiation*. 3rd ed., 256–260 (Brooks Cole, 1995).
-  Jefimenko, O. D. *Electricity and Magnetism: An Introduction to the Theory of Electric and Magnetic Fields*. 2nd ed., 515–517 (Electret Scientific, 1989).
-  Lemos, N. A. Intuição física e generalização das leis de Coulomb e de Biot-Savart para o caso dependente do tempo. *pt. Revista Brasileira de Ensino de Física* **27**, 385–388. ISSN: 1806-1117 (Sept. 2005).